arXiv:hep-ph/0206107v1 12 Jun 2002

Spontaneous Symmetry Breakings in the Linear Sigma Model at finite baryonic density

Fábio L. Braghin *

Nuclear Theory and Elementary Particle Phenomenology Group,

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

C.P. 66.318; CEP 05315-970; São Paulo - SP, Brazil.

Abstract

The linear sigma model with pions and sigma coupled to baryons and a (massive) gauge vector meson is considered for the description of a finite baryonic density system. The stability equation for the bound system is studied in the homogeneous limit considering quantum fluctuations for the scalar and pseudo scalar fields within a variational method with truncations. Nearly exact solutions for the stability equation which also solve the field equations are sought semi-analytically. Bosonic fields are found to have non zero expected classical values at finite density corresponding to condensates of dynamical symmetry breakings. The two components of baryon field, neutron and proton for example, acquire different masses due to the isospin symmetry breaking and these states may even oscillate in the medium. There is a non trivial solution for the (massive) vector meson field indicating the occurrence of a gauge dynamical symmetry breaking at finite density typical of a superconductor.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ex; 11.30.Rd; 12.38.Aw; 12.39.Fe.

Key-words: Spontaneous symmetry breaking, finite density, gauge symmetry, chiral symmetry, condensates, isospin.

IF- USP - 2001

*e-mail: braghin@if.usp.br

1 Introduction

When a theory, given by a Lagrangian, is invariant under a transformation group and the ground state of this theory is not, this symmetry is spontaneously (or dynamically) broken (SSB) [1].

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is believed to be the fundamental theory for the Strong interactions, at least at a certain level. It has a very complex non abelian structure and strong coupling constants for processes at low energies. At not high energies quarks and gluons, whose charge is the SU(3) color, are confined and no colored state can be observed. Due to these features it is very difficult to obtain exact solutions. Descriptions of low energies processes and systems are mostly accomplished in finite lattices (at zero densities) where space-time is discretized. One is therefore lead to construct effective models which respect the main properties and symmetries of the QCD for the range of energy and densities for the hadronic process of interest. It is interesting to note that one may have different effective theories for certain ranges of these variables which can be different if one consider different systems such as the vacuum or high energy density matter such as formed in relativistic heavy ions collisions in RHIC and CERN. In the vacuum, the lightest strong interacting particles are known to respect, at least approximatedly, chiral symmetry whose group is the $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$ which is spontaneously broken down to $SU(2)$. This means that the QCD vacuum has a preferencial direction in chiral space corresponding to a scalar state. Pseudo scalar pions have small masses in the hadronic scale and they are viewed as the Goldstone bosons of such SSB. The vacuum acquires a non trivial structure due to the formation of scalar quark - anti-quark condensate $< \bar{q}q >$, the order parameter of the Chiral SSB. Due to this condensate, vacuum is not invariant under chiral transformations. These features can be taken into account via sigma models which, in the linear realization [2, 1] with mesons, implement chiral symmetry with two fields: the (pseudo-scalars) pions and the (scalar) sigma - which is called the chiral partner of the pion. The expected value of sigma is, in this model, the order parameter of chiral SSB, $< \sigma > \propto < \bar{q}q >$. Long time ago S. Weinberg pointed difficulties of the linear sigma model, which are being rediscussed, for describing hadronic processes and proposed the non linear realization of chiral symmetry in which the sigma field is "eliminated" [5]. There are nowadays strong evidences for the existence of the sigma [3] although there is no consent [4]. Both implementations of chiral symmetry have been extensively developped since then.

The linear realization of chiral symmetry exhibits advantadges over the non linear realization mainly for the description of dense systems [6]. Pions are expected to have zero classical components (condensates) $< \vec{\pi} >_{vac} = 0$ in the vacuum. At finite density, QCD is known and expected to have a very complex phase diagram with the appearance of other condensates [7] with the possibility of a restoration of the

chiral symmetry when the chiral condensate $< \bar{q}q >$ is expected to be close to zero at high energy densities. With different approaches, finite density QCD and effective models have been intensively studied in the last years. The behavior of the symmetry order parameter(s) with density (and temperature) is a very relevant aspect for the understanding of matter and its fundamental interactions as well as for the description of the evolution of the Universe.

In this work we study the chiral Linear Sigma Model (LSM) with baryons and a (massive) vector meson. The mass of the vector meson is considered to be non zero only when the baryonic density is different from zero. The exact field equations are truncated to allow for analytical solutions by considering particular prescriptions for the stability condition of the system. We found that there is a (isospin) spontaneously broken symmetry generating non zero expected value for the pion field at finite densities (not necessarily high). The quantum fluctuations of the sigma and pion fields are considered in a truncated version of the variational approach with Gaussian wave functionals. The solutions for the bosonic fields are discussed and some other possible consequences are analyzed.

2 Linear sigma model at finite density

The Lagrangian density of the Linear Sigma Model for nucleons $N(\mathbf{x})$, sigma and pions $(\sigma, \vec{\pi})$ covariantly coupled to a massive gauge vector meson V_μ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{N}(\mathbf{x}) \left(i\gamma_\mu \mathcal{D}^\mu - g_S(\sigma + i\gamma_5 \vec{\tau}.\vec{\pi}) \right) N(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\mu \sigma . \partial^\mu \sigma + \partial_\mu \vec{\pi} . \partial^\mu \vec{\pi} \right) + \\ & - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left((\sigma)^2 + (\vec{\pi})^2 - v^2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_V^2 V_\mu V^\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the covariant derivative is: $\mathcal{D}^\mu = \partial^\mu - i g_V V^\mu$, the gauge invariant tensor is: $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu V^\nu - \partial^\nu V^\mu$. g_V, g_S and λ are the coupling constants and $v = f_\pi$, the pion decay constant, in the vacuum. The gauge invariant coupling of the temporal component of the vector meson to the baryons is equivalent to a redefinition of a chemical potential. Pion mass is known to break the chiral symmetry explicitly, in such case one should add another (small) term $\mathcal{L}_{sb} = c\sigma$, where c is a constant proportional to the mass. This would be of no relevance for our main results. We consider the possible existence of classical expected values (condensates) for all the mesonic fields and look for solutions for the equations of motion. In this work the whole baryon masses come from the the coupling to the scalar mesonic field by a Higgs mechanism ($M^* = g_S \bar{\sigma}$).

The vector meson mass m_V^2 is considered to be zero at zero baryonic density (ρ_B) and non zero at $\rho_B \neq 0$. We will be concerned, in this level of analysis, with an homogenous system in which case the

other (spatial) components of the vector meson V_i would in part produce small shifts in the numerical results. It would only appear in the vector meson mass term at finite density and in the term of coupling to baryons. A further account of a complete coupling will be given elsewhere.

The baryon (nucleon) field is quantized in terms of creation and annihilation operators. Its wave function can be written as superposition of spinor (χ), isospinor (η) and coordinate components $u(\mathbf{p})$, $v(\mathbf{p})$. It generates non zero scalar, baryonic and pseudo-scalar densities (ρ_s, ρ_B and ρ_{PS}). We will not explicitly evaluate here all these quantities but only make use of ρ_B and the quantized fermionic energy density ρ_f, given respectively by:

$$\rho_B = 4\int^{k_F} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}, \qquad \rho_f = 4\int^{k_F} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + (M^*)^2}. \tag{2}$$

In these expressions k_F is the baryon momentum at the Fermi surface.

To take into account the quantum fluctuations of the sigma and pion fields we consider a truncated version of the variational approach using a Gaussian trial wave-functional in the Schroedinger picture [9]. With the variational principle an estimation for the energy density of the ground state can be obtained by calculating the averaged energy with trial wave-functions ($\mathcal{H}_\phi =< \Phi|\hat{H}|\Phi >$) whose (trial) parameters are fixed when the energy density is minimum with relation to its parameters. Although this statement is not very precise due to the subtraction procedure required by renormalization for the ultraviolet divergences one can not neglect such idea. The averaged value of the Hamiltonian is calculated with trial Gaussian wave-functionals for the scalar and pseudo-scalar fields: $< \tilde{\Psi}[\sigma,\vec{\pi}]|H(\sigma,\vec{\pi})|\tilde{\Psi}[\sigma,\vec{\pi}] >$. For the sigma we can write:

$$\Psi\left[\sigma(\mathbf{x})\right] = Nexp\left\{-\frac{1}{4}\int d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\delta\sigma(\mathbf{x})G_S^{-1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\delta\sigma(\mathbf{y})\right\}, \tag{3}$$

Where $\delta\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{\sigma}$; the normalization is N, the variational parameters are the condensate $\bar{\sigma} =< \Psi|\sigma|\Psi >$, the quantum fluctuations represented by the width of the Gaussian $G_S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) =< \Psi|\sigma(\mathbf{x})\sigma(\mathbf{y})|\Psi >$. An analogous expression for the pion sector is considered with variational parameters given by: $\bar{\vec{\pi}} =< \vec{\pi} >$ and $G_P^{a,b}$, which is a matrix that can be considered to be diagonal as a particular case along this work ($G_P^{a,a} = G_P$). This reduces the corresponding functional space but it guarantees the explicit chiral invariance. We will assume that these quantum fluctuations only intervene for the meson masses as well as for a shift of the respective fields:

$$\tilde{\bar{\pi}}^2 = \bar{\vec{\pi}}^2 + G_P, \qquad \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \bar{\sigma}^2 + G_S. \tag{4}$$

This corresponds to a truncation on the self consistency with a particular renormalization energy point. This approximation corresponds to neglect terms in the energy density calculated with the Gaussian

functionals. If all terms are considered we would only have redefinition of the energy density. It does not need self consistency to be fixed. More exact calculations are being done for this model in [8].

The minimizations of the averaged energy with respect to the Gaussian variational parameters yield the GAP and condensate equations which define the minimum of the potential for these fields. The following set of equations is obtained for the sigma sector:

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\bar{\sigma}} = 0 \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}\lambda\left(\bar{\sigma}^2 + 3G_S + \bar{\vec{\pi}}^2 + G_P - v^2\right) + \frac{d\rho_f}{d\tilde{\sigma}} = 0; \\ \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta G_S} = 0 \rightarrow \frac{d\rho_f}{dG_S} - \frac{G_S^{-2}}{8} - \frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4}\left(6\bar{\sigma}^2 + 2\bar{\vec{\pi}}^2 + 6G_S + 2G_P - 2v^2\right) = 0. \end{array} \tag{5}$$

From this last expression we can write the following expression for the sigma mass: $\mu_S^2 = \lambda\left(3\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 - v^2 + ...\right)$. For the sake of clearness we truncate the exact solution considering that: 1) fermionic density does not depend on the fluctuations G_i, 2) fluctuations are small and equal ($G_P = G_S$). The corresponding equations for the pion field are:

$$\begin{array}{l} \lambda\bar{\pi}_a\left(\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 + 2G_P + \tilde{\sigma}^2 - v^2\right) + \frac{d\rho_f}{d\bar{\pi}_a} = 0; \\ \mu_P^2 = \lambda\left(3\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^2 - v^2 + ...\right) \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \tag{6}$$

The first of these two expressions contains one of the most relevant results of the present work. As far as the fermionic density depends on $\bar{\sigma}$, via the *in medium* mass M^*, and the equation for $\bar{\sigma}$ (expression (5) does depend on $\bar{\pi}_a$ we find that the pion condensate $\bar{\pi}_a$ must be nonzero whenever the fermionic density (and therefore baryonic density) is not zero. We have found therefore that:

$$\frac{d\rho_f}{d\bar{\pi}_a} = \frac{d\rho_f}{d\bar{\sigma}}\frac{d\bar{\sigma}}{d\bar{\pi}_a} \neq 0. \tag{7}$$

As discussed above, the expressions for the meson masses $\mu_S^2 \simeq 500MeV$ and $\mu_P^2 \simeq 0$, and the shift of the fields (4), are the only effects of the quantum fluctuations in the present work. Since we will not explicitly calculate the two point function G_i, there will be no concern with Ultra Violet divergences here.

The Euler Lagrange equation for the vector meson was calculated for a gauge in which only the component V_0 is non zero and homogeneous. If we consider the other components $V_i \neq 0$ the equations are slightly changed, but the conclusions remain valid with another coupled equation. The equation is given by:

$$g_V\left(\rho_B + V_0\frac{d\rho_B}{dV_0}\right) - m_V^2 V_0 = 0. \tag{8}$$

The total averaged energy density can be written as:

$$\mathcal{H} = \rho_f + g_V V_0\rho_B - \frac{1}{2}m_V^2 V_0^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 - v^2)^2. \tag{9}$$

In this work solutions for the above equations (5,6,8) are researched such that the main properties of a (bound) finite density system are consistently described. The stability condition of the system can be written as:

$$\left.\frac{d\mathcal{H}}{d\rho_B}\right|_{\rho_B=\rho_0} = \left.\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\rho_B}\right|_{\rho_B=\rho_0} < 0, \tag{10}$$

where ρ_0 is the stable density. To guarantee that this expression is satisfied we consider some (approximated) prescriptions for the dependence of the involved variables in on the baryonic density. Namely, we divide the expression for the energy density (9) for the condition (10) into three separated ones:

$$\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{d\rho_f}{d\rho_B} = \dfrac{\rho_f}{\rho_B}, \\ \dfrac{d(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 - v^2)}{d\rho_B} = \dfrac{(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 - v^2)}{\rho_B}, \\ \dfrac{d\mathcal{H}_V}{d\rho_B} = \dfrac{\mathcal{H}_V}{\rho_B}. \end{array} \tag{11}$$

In this last expression $\mathcal{H}_V$ is the energy density with contributions of the vector meson. A detailed comparison of this prescription with the exact result will be shown elsewhere. It is certain that the solutions for these (stability) equations do obeys the equations of motion of the fields, being this approximation therefore reliable.

From the first of the differential equations (11) we find a solution for the dependence of ρ_f on the baryonic density ($\rho_f = \rho_f(\rho_B)$) which is in excellent agreement with that resulting from the integration of expression (2) [8]. One more evidence that the prescriptions of expressions (11) are good.

From the second expression in (11) we find a constraint which defines a symmetry radius in the medium:

$$(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 - v^2) = \tilde{C}\sqrt{\rho_B}. \tag{12}$$

In this expression $\tilde{C}$ is a constant. Therefore in the vacuum: $\tilde{\sigma}^2 \simeq v^2 = f_\pi^2$ as discussed above. We stress that $\bar{\sigma} = f_\pi \neq \tilde{\sigma}$ in the vacuum. This means that the quantum fluctuations change the condensate value.

The GAP and condensate equations (5, 6), for $\tilde{\sigma}$ and for the $\tilde{\vec{\pi}}$ can be faced as differential equations for ρ_f and they can be written as:

$$(\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 - \tilde{v}^2) \simeq \frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{d\rho_f}{d\tilde{\sigma}^2} \simeq \frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{d\rho_f}{d\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2} \tag{13}$$

Where $\tilde{v}^2 = v^2 - G$. These equations are isomorfic and show an equal dependence of ρ_f with each of these (chiral) fields. An approximated solution for the two condensate equations can be found as if ρ_f were a function of these fields independently. This yields $\rho_f = \rho_f^{(1)}(\bar{\sigma})$ and $\rho_f = \rho_f^{(2)}(\bar{\vec{\pi}})$ with constants

fixed for the boundary $\rho_B = 0$ where $\bar{\vec{\pi}} = 0$ and $\bar{\sigma} = v$. Equating $\rho_f^{(1)}$ (solution of the first equation) to the second equation we find the following approximated value for the pion condensate (if $|\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2| << v^2$):

$$\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 \simeq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2(\tilde{\sigma}^2 - \tilde{v}^2)}{4(-\frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2} \pm \tilde{v}^2)}, \tag{14}$$

In these solutions, as well as in others more exact, $\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2$ may be either positive or negative. It would correspond respectively to a real or complex condensate value. This solutions do reproduce values close to the exact solutions. Exact solution for the condensate equations will be given elsewhere.

We can also add the two differential equations (13) which can be seen as partial differential equations. We equate the solutions for these equations and obtain the following constraint expression for the condensates:

$$C_f \tilde{C} \sqrt{\rho_0} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left((\tilde{\sigma}^2 - \frac{v^2}{2})^2 - (\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^2 - \frac{v^2}{2})^2 \right). \tag{15}$$

Where C_f is a constant from the partial differential equations. This also expresses the dynamical symmetry breaking which occurs in the medium mainly because $C_f \tilde{C} \sqrt{\rho_B} \neq 0$. We obtained a consistent basis for the study of the behavior of the condensates (as order parameters) from a Quantum Field Theory which has a SSB with density.

Finally, considering the equation of V_0 - expression (8) - as a differential equation of the baryonic density ρ_B as a function of V_0 we obtain the following solution:

$$V_0(\rho_B) = \frac{-g_V \rho_B \pm \sqrt{g_V^2 \rho_B^2 - 2 C_V \rho_B m_V^2}}{m_V^2}, \tag{16}$$

where C_V is a constant. This constant will be the only contribution of the vector meson sector to the energy density $\mathcal{H}_V = C_V \rho_B$. In the limit of zero density we get $V_0 \to 0$. It is seen that the baryonic density generates a non zero value of V_0 - which can be viewd as a condensate. This may be another dynamical symmetry breaking. Requiring the energy to be stable with relation to the solution V_0 we find that: $C_V = 2 g_V \bar{V_0}$. Where $\bar{V_0}$ is the value of V_0 at a stable density ρ_0. In this point we have $m_V^2 = -2 g_V \rho_0 / \bar{V_0}$. From this we see that the mass of the vector meson is proportional to the density, i.e., it is an *in medium* effect, as required. The massive vector meson is characteristic from a superconductive state which occurs at finite density. The question of (non) renormalizability (in a finite density medium) of the massive vector field will not be addressed here.

2.1 Discussion

Besides the appearance of another condensate, $\bar{\vec{\pi}}$, at finite baryonic density due to the isospin SSB we find the possibility, although not very appealing, of further chiral symmetry breaking with $\bar{\sigma} > v$ at finite

baryonic density as found in [10]. The numerical studies will be shown in a forthcoming work. With the present results it is not yet possible to determine, unambiguously, the symmetry behavior with density in the present model as precluded in other works [6].

We found that, at finite baryonic densities, there is a non zero pion classical expected value (condensate). Departing from the Lagrangian density or from the equation of motion of the baryons we propose the following definition for their effective masses. This would also explicit the feature that the nucleon densities depend on the pion condensate. From the averaged value of the energy we may consider a matrix for M^* which depends on the isospin (and eventually spin) of the nucleons:

$$M^*_{a,b;s} = g_S < \Psi[\sigma,\pi]|. < N_{a,s}|(\sigma + i\gamma_5 \vec{\tau}.\vec{\bar{\pi}})|N_{b,s} > .|\Psi[\sigma,\pi] >= g_S(\bar{\sigma} + i\tilde{M}^d_{(a,b)}\pi_d). \tag{17}$$

In this expression a, b, d stands for the isospin number (neutrons/protons), and $\tilde{M}$ is a non diagonal isospin matrix. This allows for the possibility of different values of baryonic masses - i.e. a mass splitting - but it also means possible oscillations between the isospin states (proton and neutron for example) in the medium. This matrix includes a dependence on the baryon spins as well which is not discussed further here. However, the quantities calculated with it in the present work -mainly the densities (ρ_f)- were the usual ones, i.e., with a constant diagonal effective mass due to $\bar{\sigma}$, i.e. $M^*_{a,b}(\vec{\bar{\pi}}) \simeq M^*$, which is the leading term. This non trivial solution corresponds to a non invariant ground state under an isospin transformation, although the Lagrangian is symmetric. The massive vector meson is characteristic from a superconductor - finite density. It may be the photon itself which would acquire mass in the presence of the hadronic field. In this case, arguments of the kind of vector meson dominance to explain its usual identification with the omega would be appealing. The velocity and other properties in the baryonic medium of this massive meson will be calculated elsewhere [8].

Phase diagram of QCD would then include this pseudo scalar condensates. Consequences for description of effects in (relativistic) heavy ion collisions (as well as for astrophysical dense objects) can be expected: baryon properties such as masses are modified, with possible splittings and baryon states oscillation. Pion multiplicities in (relativistic) h.i.c. may increase with the presence of $< \vec{\pi} >$ with respect to predictions without it. The present model will be considered for the description of (hadronic) nuclear matter properties and eventually of atomic nuclei elsewhere as well as inhomogeneous situations where topological properties may arise [8].

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by FAPESP, Brazil.

References

[1] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 380 (1960), Phys. Rev. **117**, 648 (1960). Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122** 354 (1961), **124** 246 (1961). J. Goldstone, Nuovo Cimento **19** 154 (1961).

[2] M. Gell Mann and M. Lévy, Nuovo Cimento **16** 705 (1960).

[3] N.A. Tornqvist and M. Roos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1575 (1996). I. Bediaga, Nuc. Phys. **B** Proc. Suppl. **96**, 225 (2001).

[4] N. Isgur and J. Speth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2332 (1996).

[5] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **18** 154 (1961).

[6] G.E. Brown and M. Rho, Phys. Rep. **269**, 333 (1996).

[7] R. Rapp, T. Schäfer, E. Shuryak, M. Velkovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett., 53 (1998); M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. **B 422**, 247 (1998).

[8] F.L. Braghin, *in preparation*;

[9] P.M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. **D 32**, 1389 (1985); W.A. Bardeen, M. Moshe, Phys. Rev. **D 28**, 1372 (1983); A.K. Kerman, C. Martin, D. Vautherin, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 632 (1993) and references therein.

[10] F.L. Braghin, Phys. Rev. **D 64**, 125001 (2001).